



In the much simpler world of the Internet, the details are still bewilderingly complex.



50





- Describe systems/components in terms of constraints on what is possible
- Decompose constraints into component, systemlevel, protocols, and emergent
- Not necessarily unique, but hopefully illuminating nonetheless



## **Essential ideas**

- Listening to engineers and physicians
- Robust yet fragile (RYF)
- "Constraints that deconstrain" (G&K)
- Network architecture
- Layering
- Control and dynamics (C&D)
- Hourglasses and Bowties
- Unity and diversity





#### Are there universal laws?

### Emergent Constraints

Hard constraints: Thermo (Carnot) Info (Shannon) Control (Bode) Compute (Turing)

#### No networks

Assume *different* architectures a priori.

New unifications are encouraging, but not yet accessible or complete.

#### Robust Yet Fragile (RYF)

[a system] can have [a property] *robust* for [a set of perturbations]

> Yet be *fragile* for [a different property] Or [a different perturbation]



<u>Proposition</u> : The RYF tradeoff is a *hard limit* that cannot be overcome.

# Cyber

- Thermodynamics
- Communications
- Control
- Computation

- Physical
- Thermodynamics
- Communications
- Control
- Computation



## <u>Theorems</u> : RYF tradeoffs are hard limits

#### **Robust yet fragile**

Biology and advanced tech nets show extremes

- Robust Yet Fragile
- Simplicity and complexity
- Unity and diversity
- Evolvable and frozen

What makes this possible and/ or inevitable?

#### **Architecture (= constraints)**

Let's dig deeper.



Is there a simpler example than Internet?

**J** devil  $\in$  details

 $\Rightarrow$   $\otimes$  architecture Jean Jour (alias John Day)

# Other examples

Clothing







- weather
- activity
- appearance requirements
- wear and tear
- cleaning



#### Robust to

- perturbations to clothing
- variety of raw materials
- unraveling











#### **Universal functions?**

- Transfer or transform (fastest)
  - Domain specific (data, power, goods, etc)
  - Depends on demand and supply
- Control (middle)
  - Schedule/MUX resources in time and space
  - Flow and error control
- Management (slowest)
  - *What* resources are available?
  - Where are they?
  - Cost? Risk? etc



## **Sewing function?**

- Transfer or transform (fastest)
  - Transform cloth to garments
  - Depends on demand and supply
- Control (middle)
  - Schedule/MUX resources in time and space
  - Flow and error control
- Management (slowest)
  - *What* resources are available?
  - Where are they?
  - Cost? Risk? etc



- artificial and domain specific
- Ctrl/Mgmt in NetME:
  - More complex as the "Net" part grows
  - Will be our focus/goal of a unified theory
  - From physics to information to computation to control

Domain specific, local







#### **Universal strategies?**



Prevents unraveling of lower layers





## Money






## New fragilities

- Theft, counterfeiting, fraud, and "creative accounting" are now possible
- The beginning of a growing complexity-fragility spiral
- Complex legal infrastructure
- Law, banking, finance, Ponzi schemes, derivatives, credit default swaps, ...





### **Robust yet fragile**

#### Extremes of

- Robust yet fragile
- Simplicity and complexity
- Constrained and flexible
- Frozen and evolvable
- Digital and analog
- Diverse and conserved



# Lego system requirements

	Alternative designs?			
Performance				
Trauma				
Allowed connections				
Reuse				
Evolvable parts				
Evolvable systems				
Labor cost				
Parts cost				



#### Alternatives

No interface. Simple blocks.

Standard interface. (Wild type.)

Add glue to hold the parts together.

Injection mold the whole toy from scratch.











### Diverse

#### Lessons from Lego:

- Infinitely *diverse* toys from
- moderately diverse parts
- Hourglass organization of control
- Conserved control mechanisms
- Bowties within layers
- **Complexity** is overwhelmingly in conserved control parts, but
- largely hidden in ordinary operation
- Greater internal complexity means more *robust yet fragile* external behavior



control

#### **Conserved**



assembly

## **Diverse**



#### We'll come back to this aspect later.



## Why bowties?

- Metabolism, biosynthesis, assembly
  - **1.** *Carriers*: Charging carriers in central metabolism
  - 2. *Precursors*: Biosynthesis of precursors and building blocks
  - *3. Trans\**: DNA replication, transcription, and translation
- Signal transduction
  - 4. 2CST: Two-component signal transduction





Carriers
Precursors
Trans\*
2CST







#### Constraints



















## Biology versus the Internet

### Similarities

- Evolvable architecture
- Robust yet fragile
- Layering, modularity
- Hourglass with bowties
- Dynamics
- Feedback
- Distributed/decentralized
- *Not* scale-free, edge-of-chaos, selforganized criticality, etc

## Differences

- Metabolism
- Materials and energy
- Autocatalytic feedback
- Feedback complexity
- Development and regeneration
- >3B years of evolution



#### "Central dogma"



**Protein** 













#### Analog/continuous dynamics



Specialized enzymes for each reaction





#### This is just charging and discharging






Think of AMP as a battery, and ATP as the charged battery. There are two autocatalytic processes requiring the feedback of resources:

- manufacturing the "battery" (slower process)
- and then repeatedly charging/using the "battery" (very fast)



We know what's going on here, but it's hard to draw the layers neatly.

• The carrier (AMP) must be both synthesized (in nucleotide biosynthesis), and then charged in a controlled way.

• The protein must be both synthesized and then its form and activity controlled.



**ATP** supplies energy to all layers







Lots of RNA ways to DNA







So X-layer interactions are highly structured

### Recursive control structure



Reactions

Flow





#### **Horizontal gene transfer**

- Not a static database
- Not only point mutations









More complete picture ?







# Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of *Escherichia coli*

Shai S. Shen-Orr<sup>1</sup>, Ron Milo<sup>2</sup>, Shmoolik Mangan<sup>1</sup> & Uri Alon<sup>1,2</sup>



## Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of *Escherichia coli*





1. anaerobic/aerobic metabolism DOR



### **Transcription factors**

Special purpose proteins that control gene expression

Operons

Small groups of co-regulated genes















**Transcription factor** 




















# Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of *Escherichia coli*

























The greatest complexity here is primarily in the control of *rates* 





















This is all part of controlling protein level







### All at the DNA layer





#### fan-in of diverse inputs

fan-out of diverse outputs

Diverse function

Highly robust

- Diverse
- Evolvable
- Deconstrained

Diverse components

Robust yet fragile Constraints that deconstrain





### Highly fragile • Universal

- Frozen
- Constrained

Robust yet fragile

Constraints that deconstrain



What theory is relevant to these more complex feedback systems?



## New fragilities

- Theft, counterfeiting, fraud, and "creative accounting" are now possible
- Need complex legal infrastructure to protect
- The beginning of a growing complexity-fragility spiral

