Universal laws and architecture 1:

Theoretical foundations for complex networks relevant to biology, medicine, and neuroscience?

John Doyle John G Braun Professor Control and Dynamical Systems, EE, BE Caltech

Outline

- Human complexity, robustness, fragility
- Neuro motivation
- Laws, tradeoffs, constraints, hard limits
- Human evolution
- Organized vs disorganized complexity
- Emergulent chaocritiplexity

Thanks

Lectures

Concrete motivation
 Universal laws and architectures*
 A teensy bit of math

*have you ever heard of anything more pretentious?

Seriously?

- Irresponsible speculation (Feedback from audience)
 Slightly less speculative?
- 4) A teensy bit of math?

Existing design frameworks

- Sophisticated components
- Poor integration
- Limited theoretical framework



Lectures

Concrete motivation Universal laws and architectures A teensy bit of math



"Universal laws and architectures?"

- Theoretical foundations for complex systems
- Universal "conservation laws" (constraints)
- Universal architectures (constraints that deconstrain)
- Mention recent papers*
- Focus on broader context not in papers
- Lots of case studies for motivation

Fundamentals! *try to get you to read them? System A rant

This paper aims to bridge progress in **neuroscience** involving sophisticated quantitative analysis of behavior, including the use of **robust control**, with other relevant conceptual and theoretical frameworks from **systems engineering**, **systems biology**, **and mathematics**.

Architecture, constraints, and behavior

Very accessible No math

John C. Doyle^{a,1} and Marie Csete^{b,1}

^aControl and Dynamical Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; and ^bDepartment of Anesthesiology, University of California, San Diego, CA 92103

Edited by Donald W. Pfaff, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, and approved June 10, 2011 (received for review March 3, 2011)

This paper aims to bridge progress in neuroscience involving sophisticated quantitative analysis of behavior, including the use of robust control, with other relevant conceptual and theoretical frameworks from systems engineering, systems biology, and mathematics. Familiar and accessible case studies are used to illustrate concepts of robustness, organization, and architecture (modularity and protocols) that are central to understanding complex networks. These essential organizational features are hidden during normal function of a system but are fundamental for understanding the nature, design, and function of complex biologic and technologic systems. evolved for sensorimotor control and retain much of that evolved architecture, then the apparent distinctions between perceptual, cognitive, and motor processes may be another form of illusion (9), reinforcing the claim that robust control and adaptive feedback (7, 11) rather than more conventional serial signal processing might be more useful in interpreting neurophysiology data (9). This view also seems broadly consistent with the arguments from grounded cognition that modal simulations, bodily states, and situated action underlie not only motor control but cognition in general (12), including language (13). Furthermore, the myriad constraints involved in the evolution of circuit

Doyle and Csete, Proc Nat Acad Sci USA, JULY 25 2011

Human complexity

Robust

Fragile

Human complexity

Robust

- ③ Metabolism
- © Regeneration & repair
- ③ Healing wound /infect

Fragile

- Obesity, diabetes
- Cancer
- AutoImmune/Inflame

Start with physiology

Lots of triage

Benefits

Robust

- ③ Metabolism
- Constant Regeneration & repair
- ③ Healing wound /infect
 - Sefficient
 - ③ Mobility
 - Survive uncertain food supply
 - Recover from moderate trauma and infection

Mechanism?

Robust

- ③ Metabolism
- Constant Segmentation & Regeneration & Regeneration
- ③ Healing wound /infect
 - Set accumulation
 - Insulin resistance
 - Proliferation
 - ☺ Inflammation

Fragile

- Obesity, diabetes
- Cancer
- AutoImmune/Inflame
 - Sat accumulation
 - $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\otimes}}$ Insulin resistance
 - Proliferation
 - Inflammation

What's the difference?

Robust

- ③ Metabolism
- Regeneration & repair
- Healing wound /infect

Fragile

Obesity, diabetes

Cancer

- AutoImmune/Inflame
- Section 3 Fat accumulation
- Insulin resistance
- Proliferation
- Inflammation

Controlled Dynamic

Uncontrolled Chronic



- Insulin resistance
- Proliferation
- Inflammation

Controlled Dynamic

Low mean High variability

Death

Controlled Dynamic

Low mean High variability

- S Fat accumulation
- Insulin resistance
- Proliferation
- Inflammation

Uncontrolled Chronic

High mean Low variability

Restoring robustness?

Robust

- ③ Metabolism
- Regeneration & repair
- Healing wound /infect
 - Sat accumulation
 - ℬ Insulin resistance
 - Proliferation
 - Inflammation
 - Controlled Dynamic

Low mean High variability

Fragile

- Obesity, diabetes
- Cancer
- AutoImmune/Inflame
 - Section 8 Fat accumulation
 - Insulin resistance
 - Proliferation
 - Inflammation
 - Uncontrolled Chronic

High mean Low variability

Human complexity

Robust

Yet Fragile

- Metabolism
- Regeneration & repair
- Immune/inflammation
- ③ Microbe symbionts
- Seuro-endocrine
- Complex societies
- Advanced technologies
- Risk "management"

- Obesity, diabetes
- Cancer
- AutoImmune/Inflame
- Parasites, infection
- ⊗ Addiction, psychosis,...
- Epidemics, war,...
- ♦ Obfuscate, amplify,...

Accident or necessity?

Robust

③ Metabolism

Fragile Obesi<u>ty, diabetes</u>

- ③ Regenerati
- Healing wc
- Set accumulation

 \odot

- Insulin resistance
- Proliferation
- Inflammation

une/Inflame

Fundamenta

- Fragility ← Hijacking, side effects, unintended...
- Of mechanisms evolved for robustness
- Complexity ← control, robust/fragile tradeoffs
- Math: robust/fragile constraints ("conservation laws")

Both

Accident or necessity?





Robust Modular Simple Plastic Evolvable Fragile Distributed Complex Frozen Frozen

tradeoffs

and

weak fragile slow



hands feet skeleton muscle skin gut long helpless childhood

All very different.

Human evolution

strong robust fast



Apes

How is this progress?



http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/ecoli/pec





- Lots from cell biology
 - glycolytic oscillations for hard limits
 - bacterial layering for architecture
- Networking and "clean slate" architectures
 - wireless end systems
 - info or content centric application layer
 - integrate routing, control, scheduling, coding, caching
 - control of cyber-physical
 - PC, OS, VLSI, antennas, etc (IT components)

my case studies

- Cell biology
- Networking & "clean slate" architectures
- Neuroscience
- Medical physiology
- Smartgrid, cyber-phys
- Wildfire ecology
- Earthquakes
- Lots of aerospace
- Physics:
 - turbulence,
 - stat mech (QM?)
- "Toy":
 - Lego,
 - clothing,
 - buildings, ...





The dangers of naïve biomemetics





Feathers and flapping?



Or lift, drag, propulsion, and *control*?





Getting it (W)right, 1901

- "We know how to construct airplanes..." (lift and drag)
- "... also know how to build engines." (propulsion)
- "When... balance and steer[ing]... has been worked out, the age of flying will have arrived, for all other difficulties are of minor importance." (control)



Universals?









Universals?

- Complexity ← control, robust/fragile tradeoffs
- Fragility ← Hijacking, side effects, unintended...
- Of mechanisms evolved for robustness
- Math: robust/fragile constraints ("conservation laws")



Accident or necessity?




















3. Compensating eye movement









Versus standing on one leg

- Eyes open vs closed
- Contrast
 - young surfers
 - old football players



Reflect







Reflect

Control Loop Feed-Back Differential Descending Neural Radiations to the Hippocampus/ mus/hypothalamus

Corpus Callosum Thalamus

> Pineal Gland Hippocampus

Cerebellum

Auditory Impulses

Projection to Spinal Cord

ng Tracts

Reflex







Layered architectures (cartoon)







There are 10x feedback neurons





Seeing is *dreaming*

















Toggle between this slide and the ones before and after

Even when you "know" they are the same, they appear different



Vision: evolved for complex simulation and control, not 2d static pictures

Even when you "know" they are the same, they appear different

Seeing is *dreaming*















Which blue line is longer?







Which blue line is longer?

Which blue line is longer?
Which blue line is longer?



Standard social psychology experiment.



Chess experts

- can reconstruct entire chessboard with < ~ 5s inspection
- can recognize 1e5 distinct patterns
- can play multiple games
 blindfolded and simultaneous
- are no better on random boards

(Simon and Gilmartin, de Groot)



www.psywww.com/intropsych/ch07_cognition/expertise_and_domain_specific_knowledge.html

Specialized Face Learning Is Associated with Individual Recognition in Paper Wasps



Michael J. Sheehan* and Elizabeth A. Tibbetts

We demonstrate that the evolution of facial recognition in wasps is associated with specialized face-learning abilities. *Polistes fuscatus* can differentiate among normal wasp face images more rapidly and accurately than nonface images or manipulated faces. A close relative lacking facial recognition, *Polistes metricus*, however, lacks specialized face learning. Similar specializations for face learning are found in primates and other mammals, although *P. fuscatus* represents an independent evolution of specialization. Convergence toward face specialization in distant taxa as well as divergence among closely related taxa with different recognition behavior suggests that specialized cognition is surprisingly labile and may be adaptively shaped by species-specific selective pressures such as face recognition.

When needed, even wasps can do it.

2 DECEMBER 2011 VOL 334 SCIENCE www.sciencemag.org

- *Polistes fuscatus* can differentiate among normal wasp face images more rapidly and accurately than nonface images or manipulated faces.
- *Polistes metricus* is a close relative lacking facial recognition and specialized face learning.
- Similar specializations for face learning are found in primates and other mammals, although *P. fuscatus* represents an independent evolution of specialization.
- Convergence toward face specialization in distant taxa as well as divergence among closely related taxa with different recognition behavior suggests that specialized cognition is surprisingly labile and may be adaptively shaped by species-specific selective pressures such as face recognition.

Fig. 1 Images used for training wasps.





M J Sheehan, E A Tibbetts Science 2011;334:1272-1275

Published by AAAS



Seeing is *dreaming*





But ultimately, only actions matter. **Prediction** Conscious Actions Goals perception Actions Organs **Prediction** Goals Conscious errors perception

Want to understand the space of systems/architectures



Where we are going

- Human's have huge capacity for flexibility, to learn and adapt
- High skill is highly automated but less flexible
- Mammalian NS seems highly organized to reduce delays in motor control
- Tradeoff between flexibility and delay
- Building on Turing and recent results in control theory to understand the speed/flexibility tradeoff and the mind/brain architecture









- Acquire
- Translate/ integrate
- Automate



What I'm not going to talk about

- Connections between robustness and risk sensitivity
- Asymmetry between false positives and negatives
- Risk aversion and risk seeking
- Uncertainty is more in models than in probabilities
- Life is not like a casino

All very important but triaged because of time

Going beyond black box: control is decentralized with internal delays.



Going beyond black box: control is decentralized with internal delays.



accessible accountable accurate adaptable administrable affordable auditable autonomy available credible process capable compatible composable configurable correctness customizable debugable degradable determinable demonstrable dependable deployable discoverable distributable durable effective efficient evolvable extensible failure transparent fault-tolerant fidelity flexible inspectable installable Integrity interchangeable interoperable learnable maintainable

manageable mobile modifiable modular nomadic operable orthogonality portable precision predictable producible provable recoverable relevant reliable repeatable reproducible resilient responsive reusable robust

safety scalable seamless self-sustainable serviceable supportable securable simplicity stable standards compliant survivable sustainable tailorable testable timely traceáble ubiquitous understandable upgradable usable

Simplified, minimal requirements

accessible accountable accurate adaptable administrable affordable auditable autonomy available credible process capable compatible composable configurable correctness customizable debugable degradable determinable demonstrable

dependable deployable discoverable distributable durable effective efficient evolvable extensible failure transparent fault-tolerant fidelity flexible inspectable installable Integrity interchangeable interoperable learnable maintainable

manageable mobile modifiable modular nomadic operable orthogonality portable precision predictable producible provable recoverable relevant reliable repeatable reproducible resilient responsive reusable robust

safety scalable seamless self-sustainable serviceable supportable securable simple stable

standards compliant survivable sustainable tailorable testable timely traceable ubiquitous understandable upgradable usable

accessible accountable accurate adaptable administrable affordable auditable autonomy available credible process capable compatible composable configurable correctness customizable debugable degradable determinable demonstrable

dependable deployable discoverable distributable durable effective efficient extensible failure transparent fault-tolerant fidelity flexible inspectable installable Integrity interchangeable interoperable learnable maintainable

manageable mobile modifiable modular nomadic operable orthogonality portable precision predictable producible provable relevant reliable repeatable reproducible resilient responsive reusable robust

safety scalable seamless self-sustainable serviceable supportable securable stable standards compliant survivable recoverable sustainable tailorable testable timely traceable ubiquitous understandable upgradable usable

efficient



sustainable







sustainable



Want to understand the space of systems/architectures



WHAT WE GET

SOURCES

(Chelsea Gree

ava

RMI analysis detailed in P

BOLD BUSINESS SOLUTIONS FOR THE NEW ENERGY ERA

AMORY B. LOVING AND BOCK HOUSEN DECENTS



Learn more at rmi.org

© 2011 Rocky Mountain Institute*



Amory B. Lovins, *Reinventing Fire*

Fire in the Earth System

I'm interested in fire...

David M. J. S. Bowman,^{1*} Jennifer K. Balch,^{2,3,4*}† Paulo Artaxo,⁵ William J. Bond,⁶ Jean M. Carlson,⁷ Mark A. Cochrane,⁸ Carla M. D'Antonio,⁹ Ruth S. DeFries,¹⁰ John C. Doyle,¹¹ Sandy P. Harrison,¹² Fay H. Johnston,¹³ Jon E. Keeley,^{14,15} Meg A. Krawchuk,¹⁶ Christian A. Kull,¹⁷ J. Brad Marston,¹⁸ Max A. Moritz,¹⁶ I. Colin Prentice,¹⁹ Christopher I. Roos,²⁰ Andrew C. Scott,²¹ Thomas W. Swetnam,²² Guido R. van der Werf,²³ Stephen J. Pyne²⁴

Fire is a worldwide phenomenon that appears in the geological record soon after the appearance of terrestrial plants. Fire influences global ecosystem patterns and processes, including vegetation distribution and structure, the carbon cycle, and climate. Although humans and fire have always coexisted, our capacity to manage fire remains imperfect and may become more difficult in the future as climate change alters fire regimes. This risk is difficult to assess, however, because fires are still poorly represented in global models. Here, we discuss some of the most important issues involved in developing a better understanding of the role of fire in the Earth system.

Very accessible No math



www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 324 24 APRIL 2009

Wildfires, complexity, and highly optimized tolerance

Max A. Moritz*, Marco E. Morais⁺, Lora A. Summerell[‡], J. M. Carlson[§], and John Doyle

*Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; Departments of [†]Geography and [§]Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106; [‡]Department of Earth Sciences, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407; and [®]Department of Control and Dynamical Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

Communicated by James S. Langer, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, October 19, 2005 (received for review July 26, 2004)

Recent, large fires in the western United States have rekindled debates about fire management and the role of natural fire regimes in the resilience of terrestrial ecosystems. This real-world experience parallels debates involving abstract models of forest fires, a central metaphor in complex systems theory. Both real and modeled fire-prone landscapes exhibit roughly power law statistics in fire size versus frequency. Here, we examine historical fire catalogs and a detailed fire simulation model; both are in agreement with a highly optimized tolerance model. Highly optimized tolerance suggests robustness tradeoffs underlie resilience in different fire-prone ecosystems. Understanding these mechanisms may provide new insights into the structure of ecological systems and be key in evaluating fire management strategies and sensitivities to climate change.

PNAS

Highly optimized tolerance (HOT) is a conceptual framewor for examining organization and structure in complex system (18). Theoretically, HOT builds on models and mathemati from physics and engineering, and identifies robustness tradeof as a principle underlying mechanism for complexity and power law statistics. HOT has been discussed in the context of a varie of technological and natural systems, including wildfires (18, 22) A quantitative prediction for the distribution of fire sizes has come from an extremely simple analytical HOT model, referred to as the PLR (probability-loss-resource) model (22). As precursor to results presented later in this article, Fig. 2 der onstrates the PLR prediction and truncated power law statisti (23) for several fire history catalogs. This plot represents the radata as rank or cumulative frequency of fires P(I) greater the

> Accessible ecology UG math

December 13, 2005 | vol. 102 | no. 50

17912-17917

Wildfire ecosystem as ideal example

- Cycles on years to decades timescale
- Regime shifts: grass vs shrub vs tree
- Fire= keystone "specie"
 - Metabolism: consumes vegetation
 - Doesn't (co-)evolve
 - Simplifies co-evolution spirals and metabolisms
- 4 ecosystems globally with convergent evo
 - So Cal, Australia, S Africa, E Mediterranean
 - Similar vegetation mix
 - Invasive species





Future evolution of the "smart" grid?










Exponential improvement in efficiency *F*



http://phe.rockefeller.edu/Daedalus/Elektron/



http://phe.rockefeller.edu/Daedalus/Elektron/

When will lamps be 200% efficient?





http://phe.rockefeller.edu/Daedalus/Elektron/









laws and architectures?













- Each theory \approx one dimension
- Tradeoffs *across* dimensions
- Assume architectures a priori
- Progress is encouraging, but...
- Stovepipes are an obstacle...



- Turing 100th birthday in 2012
- Turing
 - machine (math, CS)
 - test (AI, neuroscience)
 - pattern (biology)
- Arguably greatest*
 - all time math/engineering combination
 - WW2 hero
 - "invented" software

Turing (1912-1954)



*Also world-class runner.

Key papers/results

- Theory (1936): Turing machine (TM), computability, (un)decidability, universal machine (UTM)
- Practical design (early 1940s): code-breaking, including the design of code-breaking machines
- Practical design (late 1940s): general purpose digital computers and software, layered architecture
- Theory (1950): Turing test for machine intelligence
- Theory (1952): Reaction diffusion model of morphogenesis, plus practical use of digital computers to simulate biochemical reactions

Cyberphysical theories

Cyber (digital)

- Turing computation (time)
- Shannon compression (space)
- Content centric nets (time, space, location)

Physical (analog)

- Bode (latency)
- Shannon (channels)
- Networked control (AndyL)
- Redo StatMech and efficiency

Lots of challenges not yet addressed (e.g. Smartgrid, biology, neuro,..)

Layering as optimization?

Turing as "new" starting point?



Essentials:

- 0. Model
- 1. Universal laws
- 2. Universal architecture
- 3. Practical implementation

Turing's 3 step research:

- 0. Virtual (TM) machines
- 1. hard limits, (un)decidability using standard model (TM)
- 2. Universal architecture achieving hard limits (UTM)
- 3. Practical implementation in digital electronics (biology?)





- Each theory \approx one dimension
- Tradeoffs *across* dimensions
- Assume architectures a priori
- Progress is encouraging, but...
- Stovepipes are an obstacle...





laws and architectures?



REVIEW

Reverse Engineering of Biological Complexity

Marie E. Csete¹ and John C. Doyle^{2*}

Advanced technologies and biology have extremely different physical implementations, but they are far more alike in systems-level organization than is widely appreciated. Convergent evolution in both domains produces modular architectures that are composed of elaborate hierarchies of protocols and layers of feedback regulation, are driven by demand for robustness to uncertain environments, and use often imprecise components. This complexity may be largely hidden in idealized laboratory settings and in normal operation, becoming conspicuous only when contributing to rare cascading failures. These puzzling and paradoxical features are neither accidental nor artificial, but derive from a deep and necessary interplay between complexity and robustness, modularity, feedback, and fragility. This review describes insights from engineering theory and practice that can shed some light on biological complexity. ty in components or the Biologists and biophy ing complex networks ofte a biological network's (15). They find that "per homeostatic regulation are networks (16, 17), despite anisms" that can seem g (18–20). Some even concl anisms and their resulting in engineering (20, 21). H is in the nature of their rob ity that biology and advar



Csete and Doyle

1 MARCH 2002 VOL 295 SCIENCE www.sciencemag.org

Glycolytic Oscillations and Limits on Robust Efficiency

Fiona A. Chandra,¹* Gentian Buzi,² John C. Doyle²

Both engineering and evolution are constrained by trade-offs between efficiency and robustness, but theory that formalizes this fact is limited. For a simple two-state model of glycolysis, we explicitly derive analytic equations for hard trade-offs between robustness and efficiency with oscillations as an inevitable side effect. The model describes how the trade-offs arise from individual parameters, including the interplay of feedback control with autocatalysis of network products necessary to power and catalyze intermediate reactions. We then use control theory to prove that the essential features of these hard trade-off "laws" are universal and fundamental, in that they depend minimally on the details of this system and generalize to the robust efficiency of any autocatalytic network. The theory also suggests worst-case conditions that are consistent with initial experiments.

Chandra, Buzi, and Doyle

Most important paper so far.

UG biochem, math, control theory

the cen's use of ATF. In giveorysis, two ATP molecules are consumed upstream and four are produced downstream, which normalizes to q = 1(each y molecule produces two downstream) with kinetic exponent a = 1. To highlight essential trade-offs with the simplest possible analysis, we normalize the concentration such that the unperturbed ($\delta = 0$) steady states are $\overline{y} = 1$ and $\overline{x} = 1/k$ [the system can have one additional steady state, which is unstable when (1, 1/k) is stable]. [See the supporting online material (SOM) part I]. The basal rate of the PFK reaction and the consumption rate have been normalized to 1 (the 2 in the numerator and feedback coefficients of the reactions come from these normalizations). Our results hold for more general systems as discussed below and in SOM, but the analysis



www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 333 8 JULY 2011





Figure S4. Simulation of two state model (S7.1) qualitatively recapitulates experimental observation from CSTR studies [5] and [12]. As the flow of material in/out of the system is increased, the system enters a limit cycle and then stabilizes again. For this simulation, we take q=a=Vm=1, k=0.2, g=1, u=0.01, h=2.5.



Why?

Levels of explanation:

- 1. Possible
- 2. Plausible
- 3. Actual



- 4. Mechanistic
- 5. Necessary

Engineering Medicine

Glycolytic "circuit" and oscillations

- Most studied, persistent mystery in cell dynamics
- End of an old story (why oscillations)
 - side effect of hard robustness/efficiency tradeoffs
 - no purpose per se
 - just needed a theorem
- Beginning of a new one
 - robustness/efficiency tradeoffs
 - complexity and architecture
 - need more theorems and applications





Enzyme amount

Savageaumics

How general is this picture?



Evolution and architecture

Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution

Theodosius Dobzhansky

(see also de Chardin)

Nothing in evolution makes sense except in the light of biology

?????



Gerhart and Kirschner

Facilitated variation

Architecture = Constraints that deconstrain

- Weak linkage
- Exploratory mechanisms
- Compartmentalization



Unfortunately, not intelligent design

YOUR INNER FISH

A JOURNEY INTO THE 3.5-BILLION-YEAR HISTORY OF THE HUMAN BODY



NEIL SHUBIN









Why? Building humans from fish parts.

FIGURE 3–11 Schematic diagram showing the relationship between the vagus cranial nerve and the arterial arches in fish (*a*) and human (*b*). Only the third, fourth, and part of the sixth arterial arches remain in placental mammals, the sixth acting only during fetal development to carry blood to the placenta. The fourth vagal nerve in mammals (the recurrent laryngeal nerve) loops around the sixth arterial arch just as it did in the original fishlike ancestor, but must now travel a greater distance since the remnant of the sixth arch is in the thorax.

It could be worse.



weak fragile slow



hands feet skeleton muscle skin gut long helpless childhood

All very different.

Human evolution

strong robust fast



Apes

How is this progress?

Homo Erectus? hands Roughly feet modern skeleton weak muscle fragile Very skin fragile gut This much seems pretty consistent among experts regarding circa 1.5-2Mya strong So how did H. Erectus robust survive and expand globally? inefficient efficient

(slow)

wasteful




















weak fragile

strong robust

hands feet skeleton muscle skin gut + sticks stones fire

From weak prey to invincible predator

efficient (slow) Before much brain expansion?







Layered architectures



Proceedings of the IEEE, Jan 2007

Layering as Optimization Decomposition: A Mathematical Theory of Network Architectures

OR

optimization

What's

A rant

next?

There are various ways that network functionalities can be allocated to an entails? layers and to different network elements, some being more desirable than others. The intellectual goal of the research surveyed by this article is to provide theoretical foundation for these architectural decisions in networking.

By MUNG CHIANG, Member IEEE, STEVEN H. LOW, Senior Member IEEE, A. ROBERT CALDERBANK, Fellow IEEE, AND JOHN C. DOYLE

Chang, Low, Calderbank, Doyle



Layered architectures

Deconstrained (diverse) Environments

Bacterial biosphere

Architecture

Constraints that Deconstrain

Deconstrained (diverse) Genomes







How general is this picture? Very! Constraints! i.e. hard limits and architecture







wasteful

"New sciences" of "complexity" and "networks"?

Science as

- Pure fashion
- Ideology
- Political
- Evangelical
- Nontech trumps tech



- Edge of chaos
- Self-organized criticality
- Scale-free "networks"
- Creation "science"
- Intelligent design
- Financial engineering
- Risk management
- "Merchants of doubt"

•



Enzyme amount

Savageaumics



- Rigorous
- First principle



Overhead, waste

Plugging in domain details

- Domain specific
- Ad hoc
- Phenomenological



Shannon

Comms

- General
- Rigorous
- First principle

- Fundamental multiscale physics
- Foundations, origins of
 - noise
 - dissipation
 - amplification
 - catalysis

Carnot

```
Boltzmann
```

Heisenberg Physics

What I'm not going to talk much about

- It's true that most "really smart scientists" think almost everything in these talks is nonsense
- Why they think this
- Why they are wrong
- Time (not space) is our problem, as usual
- Don't have enough time for what is true, so have to limit discussion of what isn't
- No one ever changes a made up mind (almost)
- But here's the overall landscape





Even small amounts can create bewildering complexity

Fragile

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence

Robust

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence

Fragile

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence

Robust complexity

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence

- Resources
- Controlled
- Organized
- Structured
- Extreme
- Architected

- These words have lost much of their original meaning, and have become essentially meaningless synonyms
- e.g. nonlinear ≠ not linear
- Can we recover these words?
- Idea: make up a new word to mean "I'm confused but don't want to say that"
- Then hopefully we can take these words back (e.g. nonlinear = not linear)

Fragile complexity

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence
- ...

New words

Emergulent

Emergulence at the edge of chaocritiplexity

Fragile complexity

- Scale
- Dynamics
- Nonlinearity
- Nonequlibrium
- Open
- Feedback
- Adaptation
- Intractability
- Emergence
- ...

Alderson & Doyle, Contrasting Views of Complexity and Their Complex Implications for Network-Centric networks Infrastructure, IEEE TRANS ON doesn't SMC, work **JULY 2010** Stat physics

"New sciences" of complexity and networks edge of chaos, self-organized criticality, scale-free,...





"The last 70 years of the 20th century will be viewed as the dark ages of theoretical physics." (Carver Mead)



J. Fluid Mech. (2010), *vol.* 665, *pp.* 99–119. © Cambridge University Press 2010 doi:10.1017/S0022112010003861 *J. Fluid Mech* (2010)

A streamwise constant model of turbulence in plane Couette flow

D. F. GAYME¹[†], B. J. MCKEON¹, A. PAPACHRISTODOULOU², B. BAMIEH³ AND J. C. DOYLE¹



Physics of Fluids (2011)

PHYSICS OF FLUIDS 23, 065108 (2011)

Amplification and nonlinear mechanisms in plane Couette flow

Dennice F. Gayme,¹ Beverley J. McKeon,¹ Bassam Bamieh,² Antonis Papachristodoul and John C. Doyle³

Dennice Gayme, Beverley McKeon, **Bassam Bamieh (UCSB ME)**, Antonis Papachristodoulou, John Doyle

Physics of Fluids (2011) PHYSICS OF FLUIDS 23, 065108 (2011)

Amplification and nonlinear mechanisms in plane Couette flow





Existing design frameworks

- Sophisticated components
- Poor integration
- Limited theoretical framework

